**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA**
**MIDDLE DISTRICT**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,<br><br>Petitioner<br><br>v.<br><br>GABRIEL J. MARTINEZ,<br><br>Respondent | : | No. 328 MAL 2014<br><br>Petition for Allowance of Appeal from the Order of the Superior Court |
| COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,<br><br>Petitioner<br><br>v.<br><br>SHAWN PATRICK MCGINNIS JR.,<br><br>Respondent | : | No. 329 MAL 2014<br><br>Petition for Allowance of Appeal from the Order of the Superior Court |
| COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,<br><br>Petitioner<br><br>v.<br><br>ADAM MACKENZIE GRACE,<br><br>Respondent | : | No. 330 MAL 2014<br><br>Petition for Allowance of Appeal from the Order of the Superior Court |
| COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,<br><br>Petitioner | : | No. 331 MAL 2014 |

| | |
|---|---|
| | : Petition for Allowance of Appeal from the |
| | : Order of the Superior Court |
| v. | : |
| | : |
| | : |
| CHRISTINA J. LASATER, | : |
| | : |
| Respondent | : |

**ORDER**

**PER CURIAM**

**AND NOW**, this 8th day of April, 2015, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is **GRANTED**. The issue, as stated by petitioner, is:

(1) Whether the Superior Court's application of its decision Commonwealth v. Hainesworth to the instant cases impermissibly expanded the contract clause to bind the Commonwealth to collateral consequences over which the Commonwealth has no control?